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1 Introduction

MIKE 21 SW is a new generation spectral wind-wave model based on unstructured meshes. The model simulates the growth, decay and transformation of wind-generated waves and swell waves in offshore and coastal areas.

Figure 1.1 MIKE 21 SW is a state-of-the-art numerical tool for prediction and analysis of wave climates in offshore and coastal areas

MIKE 21 SW includes two different formulations:

- Directional decoupled parametric formulation
- Fully spectral formulation

The directional decoupled parametric formulation is based on a parameterization of the wave action conservation equation. The parameterization is made in the frequency domain by introducing the zeroth and first moment of the wave action spectrum as dependent variables following Holthuijsen (1989).

The fully spectral formulation is based on the wave action conservation equation, as described in e.g. Komen et al (1994) and Young (1999), where the directional-frequency wave action spectrum is the dependent variable.

The basic conservation equations are formulated in either Cartesian coordinates for small-scale applications or polar spherical coordinates for large-scale applications.

MIKE 21 SW includes the following physical phenomena:

- Wave growth by action of wind
- Non-linear wave-wave interaction
- Dissipation due to white-capping
- Dissipation due to bottom friction
- Dissipation due to depth-induced wave breaking
- Refraction and shoaling due to depth variations
- Wave-current interaction
- Effect of time-varying water depth
The discretisation of the governing equation in geographical and spectral space is performed using cell-centred finite volume method. In the geographical domain, an unstructured mesh technique is used. The time integration is performed using a fractional step approach where a multi-sequence explicit method is applied for the propagation of wave action.
2 Application Areas

MIKE 21 SW is used for the assessment of wave climates in offshore and coastal areas - in hindcast and forecast mode.

A major application area is the design of offshore, coastal and port structures where accurate assessment of wave loads is of utmost importance to the safe and economic design of these structures. Measured data is often not available during periods long enough to allow for the establishment of sufficiently accurate estimates of extreme sea states. In this case, the measured data can then be supplemented with hindcast data through the simulation of wave conditions during historical storms using MIKE 21 SW.

MIKE 21 SW is particularly applicable for simultaneous wave prediction and analysis on regional scale (like the North Sea, see Figure 2.1) and local scale (west coast of Jutland, Denmark, see Figure 2.3). Coarse spatial and temporal resolution is used for the regional part of the mesh and a high-resolution boundary- and depth-adaptive mesh is describing the shallow water environment at the coastline.

![Figure 2.1](image)

**Figure 2.1** A MIKE 21 SW forecast application in the North Sea and Baltic Sea. The chart shows a wave field illustrated by the significant wave height in top of the computational mesh

MIKE 21 SW is also used in connection with the calculation of the sediment transport, which for a large part is determined by wave conditions and associated wave-induced currents. The wave-induced current is generated by the gradients in radiation stresses that occur in the surf zone. MIKE 21 SW can be used to calculate the wave conditions and associated radiation stresses.
MIKE 21 SW can also be applied on global scale as illustrated in Figure 2.4.
3 Basic Equations

3.1 General

The dynamics of the gravity waves are described by the transport equation for wave action density. For small-scale applications the basic transport is usually formulated in Cartesian coordinates, while spherical polar coordinates are used for large-scale applications. The wave action density spectrum varies in time and space and is a function of two wave phase parameters. The two wave phase parameters can be the wave number vector \( \mathbf{k} \) with magnitude, \( k \), and direction, \( \theta \). Alternatively, the wave phase parameters can also be the wave direction, \( \theta \), and either the relative (intrinsic) angular frequency, \( \sigma = 2\pi f \), or the absolute angular frequency, \( \omega = 2\pi f_a \). In the present model a formulation in terms of the wave direction, \( \theta \), and the relative angular frequency, \( \sigma \), has been chosen. The action density, \( N(\sigma, \theta) \), is related to the energy density \( E(\sigma, \theta) \) by

\[
N = \frac{E}{\sigma} \tag{3.1}
\]

For wave propagation over slowly varying depths and currents the relation between the relative angular frequency (as observed in a frame of reference moving with the current velocity) and the absolute angular frequency, \( \omega \) (as observed in a fixed frame) is given by the linear dispersion relation

\[
\sigma = \sqrt{gk \tanh(kd)} = \omega - k \cdot \mathbf{U} \tag{3.2}
\]

where \( g \) is the acceleration of gravity, \( d \) is the water depth and \( \mathbf{U} \) is the current velocity vector. The magnitude of the group velocity, \( c_g \), of the wave energy relative to the current is given by

\[
c_g = \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial k} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{2kd}{\sinh(2kd)} \right) \frac{\sigma}{k} \tag{3.3}
\]

The phase velocity, \( c \), of the wave relative to the current is given by

\[
c = \frac{\sigma}{k} \tag{3.4}
\]

The frequency spectrum is limited to the range between a minimum frequency, \( \sigma_{\text{min}} \), and a maximum frequency, \( \sigma_{\text{max}} \). The frequency spectrum is split up into a deterministic prognostic part for frequencies lower than a cut-off frequency and an analytical diagnostic part for frequencies higher than the cut-off frequency. A dynamic cut-off frequency depending on the local wind speed and the mean frequency is used as in the WAM Cycle 4 model (see WAMDI Group (1988) and Komen et al. (1994)). The deterministic part of the spectrum is determined solving the transport equation for wave action density using numerical methods. Above the cut-off frequency limit of the prognostic region, a parametric tail is applied.
where \( m \) is a constant. In the present model \( m = 5 \) is applied. The maximum prognostic frequency is determined as

\[
\sigma_{\text{cut-off}} = \min \left[ \sigma_{\text{max}}, \max(2.5\overline{\sigma}, 4\sigma_{PM}) \right]
\]

where \( \sigma_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum discrete frequency used in the deterministic wave model, \( \overline{\sigma} \) is the mean relative frequency and \( \sigma_{PM} = g/l(28u_{10}) \) is the Pierson-Moskowitz peak frequency for fully developed waves (\( U_{10} \) is the wind speed at 10 m above the mean sea level). The diagnostic tail is used in the calculation of the non-linear transfer and in the calculation of the integral parameters used in the source functions. Below the minimum frequency the spectral densities is assumed to be zero.

As standard the mean frequency, used in Eq. (3.6), is calculated based on the whole spectrum. For swell dominated wave conditions this can result in a too low cut-off frequency and thereby an underestimation of the local generated wind waves. The predictions can be improved by calculation the mean frequency based on only the wind-sea part of the spectrum. The separation of wind-sea and swell can be estimated using the definitions in Section 5.1.

### 3.2 Wave Action Conservation Equations

The governing equation is the wave action balance equation formulated in either Cartesian or spherical coordinates (see Komen et al. (1994) and Young (1999)).

**Cartesian coordinates**

In horizontal Cartesian coordinates, the conservation equation for wave action can be written as

\[
\frac{\partial N}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} \cdot N) = \frac{S}{\sigma}
\]

where \( N(\mathbf{x}, \sigma, \theta, t) \) is the action density, \( t \) is the time, \( \mathbf{x} = (x, y) \) is the Cartesian coordinates, \( \mathbf{v} = (c_x, c_y, c_{\sigma}, c_{\theta}) \) is the propagation velocity of a wave group in the four-dimensional phase space \( \mathbf{x}, \sigma \) and \( \theta \), and \( S \) is the source term for the energy balance equation. \( \nabla \) is the four-dimensional differential operator in the \( \mathbf{x}, \sigma, \theta \)-space. The four characteristic propagation speeds are given by

\[
(c_x, c_y) = \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \mathbf{c}_g + \mathbf{U}
\]

\[
c_{\sigma} = \frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \mathbf{d}} \left[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{d}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{z} \right] - c_g \mathbf{k} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial S}
\]
\[ c_\theta = \frac{d\theta}{dt} = -\frac{1}{k} \left[ \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial d}{\partial \phi} + \hat{k} \cdot \frac{\partial \tilde{U}}{\partial m} \right] \] (3.10)

Here, \( s \) is the space coordinate in wave direction \( \theta \), and \( m \) is a coordinate perpendicular to \( s \). \( \nabla_\chi \) is the two-dimensional differential operator in the \( \chi \)-space.

**Spherical coordinates**

In spherical coordinates, the conserved property is the action density \( \tilde{N}(\chi, \sigma, \theta, t) \). Here, \( \chi = (\phi, \lambda) \) is the spherical coordinates, where \( \phi \) is the latitude and \( \lambda \) is the longitude. The action density \( \tilde{N} \) is related to the normal action density \( N \) (and normal energy density \( E \)) through \( \tilde{N} d\sigma d\phi d\lambda = N d\sigma d\phi d\lambda d\gamma \), or

\[ \tilde{N} = NR^2 \cos \phi = \frac{ER^2 \cos \phi}{\sigma} \] (3.11)

where \( R \) is the radius of the earth. In spherical polar coordinates the wave action balance equation can be written

\[ \frac{\partial \tilde{N}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} c_\phi \tilde{N} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} c_\lambda \tilde{N} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} c_\sigma \tilde{N} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} c_\theta \tilde{N} = \frac{\tilde{S}}{\sigma} \] (3.12)

Here \( \tilde{S}(\chi, \sigma, \theta, t) = SR^2 \cos \phi \) is the total source and sink function. The four characteristic propagation speeds are given by

\[ c_\phi = \frac{d\phi}{dt} = \frac{c_g \cos \theta + u_\phi}{R} \] (3.13)

\[ c_\lambda = \frac{d\lambda}{dt} = \frac{c_g \sin \theta + u_\lambda}{R \cos \phi} \] (3.14)

\[ c_\sigma = \frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \frac{c_g \left[ \frac{\partial d}{\partial \phi} - \frac{d}{R \cos \phi} \left( \frac{du_\lambda}{d\phi} - u_\phi \tan \phi \right) \right]}{c_g \frac{\partial d}{\partial \phi}} + \frac{1}{Rk} \left[ \cos \theta (\sin \frac{d\lambda}{d\phi} + \cos \frac{d\lambda}{d\phi}) + \sin \frac{d\lambda}{d\phi} (\sin \theta \cos \frac{d\lambda}{d\phi} + \cos \theta \frac{du_\phi}{d\phi}) \right] - \frac{k}{R} \left[ \cos \theta \tan \phi (u_\phi \sin \phi + u_\phi \cos \phi \cos \theta) \right] \] (3.15)

\[ c_\theta = \frac{d\theta}{dt} = \frac{c_g \sin \phi \tan \phi}{R} + \frac{1}{Rk} \left[ \sin \theta \frac{\partial d}{\partial \phi} - \cos \theta \frac{\partial d}{\partial \phi} \right] + \frac{\sin \theta}{R} \left[ \sin \theta \left( \frac{\partial u_\phi}{\partial \phi} + \cos \theta \frac{\partial u_\phi}{\partial \phi} \right) \right] - \cos \theta \left[ \frac{\sin \theta \frac{\partial u_\phi}{\partial \phi} + \cos \theta \frac{\partial u_\phi}{\partial \phi}}{R \cos \phi} \right] \] (3.16)
Here \((u_\phi, u_\lambda)\) are the components of the depth-averaged current \(\vec{U}\) in the geographical space. For the wave direction \(\theta\) a nautical convention is used (positive clockwise from true North): the direction from where the wind is blowing.

### 3.3 Source Functions

The energy source term, \(S\), represents the superposition of source functions describing various physical phenomena

\[
S = S_{in} + S_{nl} + S_{ds} + S_{bot} + S_{surf}
\]  
(3.17)

Here \(S_{in}\) represents the generation of energy by wind, \(S_{nl}\) is the wave energy transfer due non-linear wave-wave interaction, \(S_{ds}\) is the dissipation of wave energy due to whitecapping, \(S_{bot}\) is the dissipation due to bottom friction and \(S_{surf}\) is the dissipation of wave energy due to depth-induced breaking.

#### 3.3.1 Wind input

In a series of studies by Janssen (1989), Janssen et al. (1989) and Janssen (1991), it is shown that the growth rate of the waves generated by wind depends also on the wave age. This is because of the dependence of the aerodynamic drag on the sea state.

The input source term, \(S_{in}\) is given by

\[
S_{in}(f, \theta) = \max(\alpha, \gamma E(f, \theta))
\]  
(3.18)

where \(\alpha\) is the linear growth and \(\gamma\) is the nonlinear growth rate.

**Non-linear growth**

A simple parameterisation of the growth rate, \(\gamma\), of the waves is obtained by Janssen (1991) by fitting a curve to his earlier detailed numerical results. This fitted curve compares favourably with observations by Snyder et al., 1981. Janssen suggested

\[
\gamma = \varepsilon \beta \alpha x^2
\]  
(3.19)

where \(\varepsilon\) is the ratio of density of air to water \(\rho_a / \rho_w\) and \(\sigma\) is the relative circular frequency. \(x\) is given by

\[
x = \frac{u_*}{c} \cos(\theta - \theta_w)
\]  
(3.20)

where \(u_*\) is the wind friction velocity, \(c\) is the phase speed and \(\theta\) and \(\theta_w\) are the wave and wind directions, respectively. Finally, \(\beta\) is given by:
\[ \beta = \frac{1.2}{\kappa^2} \mu \ln \mu \quad \mu \leq 1 \]
\[ \beta = 0 \quad \mu > 1 \]

where \( \kappa \) is von Karman's constant \( \kappa = 0.41 \) and \( \mu \) is the dimensionless critical height

\[ \mu = k z_c \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.22)

Here \( k \) is the wave number and \( z_c \) is the critical height defined as the elevation above sea level where the wind speed is exactly equal to the phase speed. Assuming a logarithmic wind profile, the critical height can be written as

\[ z_c = z_o \exp(\kappa / x) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.23)

In the actual implementation of WAM, Eq. (3.20) was modified as follows:

\[ x = \left( \frac{u_s}{c} + z_a \right) \cos(\theta - \theta_w) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.24)

where \( z_a = 0.011 \). According to Peter Janssen (private communication, 1995), this was necessary to account for gustiness and obtain a reasonable growth rate with WAM.

Using Eqs. (3.21) - (3.24), the growth rate due to wind input can be calculated as

\[ \gamma = \left( \frac{\rho_s}{\rho_w} \right) \left( \frac{1.2}{\kappa^2} \mu \ln \mu \right) \sigma \left[ \left( \frac{u_s}{c} + z_a \right) \cos(\theta - \theta_w) \right]^2 \]

\[ \mu \leq 1 \]

\[ \gamma = 0 \quad \mu > 1 \]

where

\[ \mu = k z_o \exp(\kappa / x) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.26)

For a given wind speed and direction, the growth rate of waves of a given frequency and direction depends on the friction velocity, \( u_s \) and sea roughness, \( z_o \). In order to calculate \( u_s \), Janssen assumed a logarithmic profile for the wind speed \( u(z) \) of the form

\[ u(z) = \frac{u_s}{\kappa} \ln \left( \frac{z + z_{ow}}{z_{ob} + z_{ow}} \right) \]

\[ z_0 = z_{ob} + z_{ow} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.27)

where \( z_{ob} \) models the effect of gravity-capillary waves (can be seen as background roughness) and \( z_{ow} \) models the effect of short gravity waves. \( z_{ob} \) is parameterised as

\[ z_{0b} = z_{Charnock} u_s^2 / g \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.28)

where \( z_{Charnock} \) is the Charnock parameter. The default value are \( z_{Charnock} = 0.01 \).

Usually, \( z >> z_{ow} \) and in that case
\[
\begin{align*}
    u_* &= \frac{\kappa u(z)}{\ln \left( \frac{z}{z_0} \right)} \\
    (3.29)
\end{align*}
\]

Three different formulations for estimating \( u_* \) and \( z_0 \) has been implemented in the model:

**Uncoupled model using a drag law**

Here the relation between the wind speed \( U_w = u(z) \) at a level \( z = z_{\text{wind}} \) and the wind friction velocity is given by a simple empirical formulation

\[
    u_*^2 = C_D \cdot U_w^2, \quad C_D = \alpha_{\text{drag}} + \beta_{\text{drag}} \cdot U_w
    (3.30)
\]

where \( \alpha_{\text{drag}} \) and \( \beta_{\text{drag}} \) are two constants. The default values are \( z_{\text{wind}} = 10 \, m \), \( \alpha_{\text{drag}} = 6.3 \cdot 10^{-4} \) and \( \beta_{\text{drag}} = 6.6 \cdot 10^{-5} \), cf. Smith & Banke (1975). Then the sea roughness is obtained using Eq. (3.29).

\[
    z_0 = z_{\text{wind}} \exp \left( -\frac{\kappa U_w}{u_*} \right)
    (3.31)
\]

**Uncoupled model using Charnock**

If \( z_{ow} \) is assumed to be small compared to \( z_{ob} \), the air roughness is given by

\[
    z_0 = z_{0b} = z_{\text{charnock}} u_*^2 / g
    (3.32)
\]

For a given wind speed \( U_w = u(z) \) at a level \( z = z_{\text{wind}} \) it is possible to solve by Eq. (3.29) and (3.32) iteratively to obtain the roughness length \( z_0 \) and the friction velocity \( u_* \). Now, to limit the number of repetitive calculations of this type, the values of \( \tau \) for various combinations of \( U_w \) can be pre-computed and stored. The range of \( U_w \) used is: 0-50 m/s in steps of 0.5 m/s.

**Coupled model**

Here the sea roughness is given by

\[
    z_o = z_{ob} + z_{ow} = z_{0b} \left( 1 - \frac{\tau_w}{\tau} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = z_{\text{charnock}} u_*^2 \left( 1 - \frac{\tau_w}{\rho_{\text{air}} u_*^2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}
    (3.33)
\]

where \( \tau_w \) is the wave induced stress, and \( \tau \) is the total stress \( \tau = \rho_{\text{air}} u_*^2 \). For a given wind speed \( U_w = u(z) \) at a level \( z = z_{\text{wind}} \) and the wave induced stress, it is possible to solve Eqs. (3.29) and (3.33) iteratively to obtain \( u_* \). To limit the number of repetitive
calculations of this type, the values of \( \tau \) for various combinations of \( u_{10} \) and \( \tau_w \) can be pre-computed and stored. The range of \( U_{10} \) used is: 0-50 m/s in steps of 0.5 m/s, while the range of \( \tau_w \) is: 0-5 m\(^2\)/s\(^2\) in steps of 0.05 m\(^2\)/s\(^2\).

The wave-induced stress, \( \tau_w \) is calculated as follows

\[
\tau_w = \int \frac{\mathbf{\vec{P}}}{\mathbf{\vec{\alpha}}} \, df \, d\theta \tag{3.34}
\]

where \( \mathbf{\vec{P}} \) is the wave momentum given by

\[
\mathbf{\vec{P}} = \rho_w \sigma \mathbf{E}(f, \theta) \mathbf{\vec{i}} \tag{3.35}
\]

Here \( \mathbf{\vec{i}} \) is the unit vector along the wave direction (\( \mathbf{\vec{i}} = \mathbf{\vec{k}} / k \)). From Eq. (3.18) we obtain

\[
\frac{\mathbf{\vec{P}}}{\mathbf{\vec{\alpha}}} = \rho_w \sigma \mathbf{E}(f) \mathbf{\vec{i}} = \rho_w \sigma \mathbf{g} \mathbf{\vec{i}} \tag{3.36}
\]

where \( \gamma \) is the growth rate of the waves due to wind. Splitting the integral into low and high frequency parts, one obtains

\[
\mathbf{\vec{\tau}}_w = \mathbf{\vec{\tau}}_{w,\text{prognostic}} + \mathbf{\vec{\tau}}_{w,\text{diagnostic}} \tag{3.37}
\]

where

\[
\mathbf{\vec{\tau}}_{w,\text{prognostic}} = \int_{\phi}^{\infty} \int_{\theta}^{\theta_{\text{max}}} \rho_w \mathbf{\sigma} \mathbf{E}(2\pi f) df \, d\theta \mathbf{\vec{i}} \tag{3.38}
\]

\[
\mathbf{\vec{\tau}}_{w,\text{diagnostic}} = \int_{\phi_{\text{max}}}^{\infty} \int_{\theta}^{\theta_{\text{max}}} \rho_w \mathbf{\sigma} \mathbf{E}(2\pi f) df \, d\theta \mathbf{\vec{i}} \tag{3.39}
\]

Here \( \phi_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum prognostic frequency.

The prognostic part \( \mathbf{\vec{\tau}}_{w,\text{prognostic}} \) is calculated by numerical integration of Eq. (3.38) using the computed discrete spectra. The diagnostic part \( \mathbf{\vec{\tau}}_{w,\text{diagnostic}} \) (containing the high frequency part of the spectrum) is calculated assuming a \( f^{-5} \) spectra shape, see Eq. (3.5). For this high frequency waves, the wave celerity can be evaluated using the expression for deep water waves. Thus, \( c = g / 2\pi f \). Now, substituting Eq. (3.5) with \( m=5 \) and Eq. (3.25) into Eq. (3.39), we obtain:

\[
\mathbf{\vec{\tau}}_{w,\text{diagnostic}} = \frac{(2\pi)^4}{g^2} \cdot f_{\text{max}}^5 \cdot \rho_w u_2^2 \int_{0}^{\theta_{\text{max}}} \mathbf{E}(f, \theta, \phi) \cos^2(\theta - \phi) \cdot f \, d\theta \, d\mathbf{\vec{i}} \tag{3.40}
\]

where
Basic Equations

\[ I_{\tau_0} = \int_{f_{\text{max}}}^{\infty} \beta \frac{df}{f} \quad (3.41) \]

By changing the variable \( f \) in Eq. (3.41) to a new variable \( y \) (defined as the square root of dimensionless roughness, \( \sqrt{kz_o} \))

\[ y = 2\pi f \sqrt{z_o/g} \quad (3.42) \]

Eq. (3.41) is rewritten as

\[ I_{\tau_0} = \int_{y_{\text{max}}}^{1} \beta \frac{dy}{y} \quad (3.43) \]

where \( y_{\text{max}} = 2\pi f_{\text{max}} \sqrt{z_o/g} \) and the upper limit is set to 1.0. Assuming \( z_{ch} = 0.0185 \), this upper limit corresponds to a frequency of about 180 times the Pierson Moskowitz peak frequency.

Eq. (3.43) can be re-written as

\[ I_{\tau_0} = \int_{y_{\text{max}}}^{1} \frac{1.2}{\kappa^2} \mu \ln^4 \mu \frac{dy}{y} \quad (3.44) \]

where

\[ \mu = kz_o \exp(\kappa / x) = y^2 \exp(\kappa / x) \quad (3.45) \]

\[ x = \left( \frac{u_s}{C} + z_\alpha \right) \cos(\theta - \varphi) = \left( \frac{u_s}{\sqrt{g z_o}} \cdot y + z_\alpha \right) \cos(\theta - \varphi) \quad (3.46) \]

Substituting Eq. (3.46) into Eq. (3.45), we obtain

\[ \mu = y^2 \exp \left[ \kappa \left( \frac{u_s}{\sqrt{g z_o}} \cdot y + z_\alpha \right) \cos(\theta - \varphi) \right] \quad (3.47) \]

Now, \( \tilde{\tau}_{w, \text{diagnostic}} \) can be calculated as

\[ \tilde{\tau}_{w, \text{diagnostic}} = \frac{(2\pi)^2}{g^2} f_{\text{max}}^2 \rho_o u_0^2 E(\theta_{\text{max}}) \cos^2(\theta - \varphi) \cdot I_{\tau_0} \cdot d\theta \quad (3.48) \]

Where \( I_{\tau_0} \) is given by Eq. (3.44) and \( \mu \) is given by Eq. (3.47).
From Eqs. (3.44) and (3.47) it is clear that for given values of \( z_o, u_s \) and \( (\theta - \theta_w) \), \( \mathcal{I}_{r_w}(z_o, u_s, (\theta - \theta_w)) \) can be calculated. To improve the efficiency \( \mathcal{I}_{r_w} \) can be precomputed for various values of the dependent parameters, in the same way it was done for \( \tau \). Alternatively if \( z_o = \alpha u_s^2 / g \), it follows that \( \mathcal{I}_{r_w} \) is a function of \( \alpha, u_s \) and \( (\theta - \varphi) \). Thus, a table may be computed for \( \mathcal{I}_{r_w} \) with the following parameter ranges: \( \alpha \) goes from 0.01 → 0.11, step 0.001; \( u_s \) goes from 0 → 5 m/s, step 0.05; \( (\theta - \varphi) \) goes from \(-\pi/2 \rightarrow \pi/2\), step \pi/12.

There are some significant differences between the procedure described above and what is implemented in WAM cycle 4. Basically, WAM approximates Eq. (3.48) as follows:

\[
\hat{\tau}_{w,\text{diagnostic}} = \frac{(2\pi)^2}{g^2} \cdot f_{\text{max}}^5 \cdot \rho g u_s^2 \int_{\phi_{\varphi} - \pi/2}^{\phi_{\varphi} + \pi/2} E(f_{\text{max}}, \theta) \cos^3(\theta - \varphi) \cdot \mathcal{I}_{r_w}(\alpha, u_s) d\theta \hat{m} \tag{3.49}
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{I}_{r_w}(\alpha, u_s) = \int \frac{1.2}{\kappa^2} \mu' \ln \frac{\mu'}{y} dy \tag{3.50}
\]

\[
\mu' = y^2 \exp \left( \frac{\kappa}{u_s \sqrt{g z_o}} \cdot y + z_{\text{fl}} \right) \tag{3.51}
\]

and \( \hat{m} \) is the unit vector in the direction of the wind. The omission of the cosine term in Eq. (3.51) appears to be an error (compare Eq. (3.47) and Eq. (3.51)). This error cannot be compensated by the use of \( \cos^3 \) (Eq. (3.49)) instead of \( \cos^2 \) (Eq. (3.48)). Furthermore, it is not clear why the direction of \( \hat{\tau}_w \) was changed to the wind direction instead of the wave direction. At the time of writing this report, the WAM implementation (Eqs. (3.49) to (3.51)) is used in the present model.

**Linear growth**

The linear growth, \( \alpha \), is obtained following the approach by Ris (1997)
Basic Equations

\[ \alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{c}{g^2 2\pi} \left( u_\ast \cos(\theta - \theta_u) \right)^4 \exp \left( - \left( \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{PM}} \right)^4 \right) \cos(\theta - \theta_u) > 0 \\ 0 \quad \cos(\theta - \theta_u) \leq 0 \end{cases} \]  

(3.52)

where \( c = 1.5 \cdot 10^{-3} \) and the Pierson-Moskowitz peak frequency is defined by

\[ \sigma_{PM} = \frac{0.13 g 2\pi}{28 u_\ast} \]  

(3.53)

The friction velocity \( u_\ast \) is obtained using SEqs. (3.30). The drag coefficients are given by (see Wu (1982))

\[ C_D = \begin{cases} 1.2875 \cdot 10^{-3} & U_w < 7.5 \text{ m/s} \\ 0.8 \cdot 10^{-3} + 6.5 \cdot 10^{-5} U_w & U_w \geq 7.5 \text{ m/s} \end{cases} \]  

(3.54)

where \( U_w \) is given at \( z = 10 \text{ m} \).

### 3.3.2 Quadruplet-wave interactions

The exact computations of the three-dimensional non-linear Boltzmann integral expressions for \( S_{nl} \) (Hasselmann, 1962) are too time consuming to be incorporated in a general numerical wave model. Thus, a parameterisation of \( S_{nl} \) is required. The discrete interaction approximation (DIA) is the commonly used parameterisation of \( S_{nl} \) in third generation wave models. The DIA was developed by S. Hasselmann et al., 1985. The description below is taken from Komen et al., 1994 (pp. 226-228).

S. Hasselmann et al. (1985) constructed a non-linear interaction operator by the superposition of a small number of discrete interaction configurations composed of neighbouring and finite distance interaction combinations. They found that the exact non-linear transfer could be well simulated by just one mirror-image pair of intermediate range interaction configurations. In each configuration, two wave numbers were taken as identical: \( \vec{k}_1 = \vec{k}_2 = \vec{k} \), while \( \vec{k}_3 \) and \( \vec{k}_4 \) lie at an angle to \( \vec{k} \) as required by the resonance condition.

The second configuration is obtained from the first by reflecting the wave numbers \( \vec{k}_3 \) and \( \vec{k}_4 \) with respect to the k-axis (see also Figure 3.1). The scale and direction of the reference wave number are allowed to vary continuously in wave number space.

---

1 Resonance condition requires that \( \vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2 - \vec{k}_3 - \vec{k}_4 = 0 \) and \( \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 = 0 \).
The simplified non-linear operator is computed by applying the same symmetrical integration method as is used to integrate the exact transfer integral (see also Hasselmann and Hasselmann, 1985b), except that the integration is taken over a two-dimensional continuum and two discrete interactions instead of five-dimensional interaction phase space. Just as in the exact case the interactions conserve energy, momentum and action.

For the configurations:

$$\begin{align*}
\omega_1 &= \omega_2 = \omega \\
\omega_3 &= \omega(1 + \lambda) = \omega_+ \\
\omega_4 &= \omega(1 - \lambda) = \omega_-
\end{align*}$$

(3.55)

where $\lambda = 0.25$, satisfactory agreement with the exact computations was achieved.

From the resonance conditions the angles $\theta_3, \theta_4$ of the wave numbers $k_3(k_\pm)$ and $k_4(k_\mp)$ relative to $k$ are found to be $\theta_3 = 11.5^\circ$, $\theta_4 = -33.6^\circ$.

The discrete interaction approximation has its most simple form for the rate of change in time of the action density in wave number space. In agreement with the principle of detailed balance, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \begin{pmatrix} N_+ \\ N_+ \\ N_- \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ +1 \\ +1 \end{pmatrix} C g^{-8} f^{-19} \left[ N^2 (N_+ + N_-) - 2 N_+ N_- \right] \Delta k,$$

(3.56)

where $\partial N_+ / \partial \tau, \partial N_+ / \partial \tau, \partial N_- / \partial \tau$ are the rates of change in action at wave numbers $k, k_+, k_-$ due to the discrete interactions within the infinitesimal interaction phase-space element $\Delta k$ and $C$ is a numerical constant. The net source function $S_{nl}$ is obtained by summing Eq. (3.56) over all wave numbers, directions and interaction configurations.

In terms of the spectral energy densities $E(f, \theta)$, the increments to the source functions $S_{nl}(f, \theta) = \partial E / \partial \tau$ at the 3 interacting wave numbers are given as: (S. Hasselmann et al., 1985)
\[ \frac{\partial S_{nl}}{\partial \Delta f} = \left( 1 + \lambda \right) \frac{\Delta f \Delta \theta}{\Delta f \Delta \theta} \cdot \phi(f, E, E_+ E_-) \] (3.57)

where

\[ \phi(f, E, E_+ E_-) = C' g^{-4} f^{11} \left[ E^2 \left( \frac{E_+}{(1 + \lambda)^4} + \frac{E_-}{(1 - \lambda)^4} \right) - \frac{2EE_+ E_-}{(1 - \lambda^2)^4} \right] \] (3.58)

where \( C' \) is a numerical constant proportional to \( C \), given as \( 3.10^7 \). \( \Delta f, \Delta f, \Delta f_- \) are the discrete spectral resolution at \( f, f_+ \), and \( f_- \), respectively. The increments \( \Delta f \Delta \theta \) in the numerator refer to the discrete-interaction phase-space element, while the differentials in the denominator refer to the sizes of the "bins" in which the incremental spectral changes induced by a "collision" are stored. In the above, the angular increments \( \Delta \theta \) at \( \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_4 \) are taken to be the same, while a possible frequency dependence on \( \Delta f \) is allowed, i.e. \( \Delta f_+ \neq \Delta f_- \neq \Delta f \). Eq. (3.57) is summed over all frequencies, directions and interaction configurations to yield the net source function, \( S_{nl} \).

The above analysis is made for deep water. Numerical computations by Hasselmann and Hasselmann (1981) of the full Boltzmann integral for water of arbitrary depth have shown that there is an approximate relation between transfer rates in deep water and water of finite depth: for a given frequency-direction spectrum, the transfer for finite depth is identical to the transfer for infinite depth, except for a scaling factor \( R \):

\[ S_{nl}(\text{finite depth}) = R(\kbar h) S_{nl}(\text{infinite depth}), \] (3.59)

where \( \kbar \) is the mean wave number. This scaling relation holds in the range \( \kbar h > 1 \), where the exact computations could be closely reproduced with the scaling factor

\[ R(x) = 1 + \frac{5.5}{x} \left( 1 - \frac{5x}{6} \right) \exp \left( -\frac{5x}{4} \right) \] (3.60)

with \( x = (3/4)\kbar h \). This approximation is used in the WAM model.

For constant frequency interval discretisation, Eq. (3.57) can be written as:

\[ \begin{align*}
\frac{\partial S_{nl}}{\partial \Delta f} &= \begin{cases} -2 & \\
1 + \lambda & \\
1 - \lambda & \end{cases} \phi(f, E, E_+ E_-) \\
\frac{\partial S_{nl+}}{\partial \Delta f} &= \frac{\partial S_{nl-}}{\partial \Delta f} \end{align*} \] (3.61)
For logarithmic frequency discretisation, Eq. (3.57) becomes:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\delta S_{nl} \\
\delta S_{nl+} \\
\delta S_{nl-}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
-2 \\
1 \\
1
\end{pmatrix} \phi(f, E, E_+, E_-)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (3.62)

The contributions to the gradient terms \( \frac{\partial S_{nl}}{\partial E} \) at the interacting wave numbers are obtained from:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\delta(\frac{\partial S_{nl}}{\partial E}) \\
\delta(\frac{\partial S_{nl}}{\partial E_+}) \\
\delta(\frac{\partial S_{nl}}{\partial E_-})
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
-2 \frac{\partial f \partial \theta}{\partial f \partial \theta} \cdot \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial E} \\
(1 + \lambda) \frac{\partial f \partial \theta}{\partial f_+ \partial \theta} \cdot \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial E_+} \\
(1 + \lambda) \frac{\partial f \partial \theta}{\partial f_- \partial \theta} \cdot \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial E_-}
\end{pmatrix}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (3.63)

As in Eq. (3.57), the total contribution to \( \frac{\partial S_{nl}}{\partial E} \) at a given frequency \( f \), and direction \( \theta \) is found by summing the contributions from all frequencies, directions and the two configurations (primary and mirrored configuration).

Additional assumptions are required before computing Eq. (3.57) and Eq. (3.63) above. The reason for this is as follows: For the non-linear interactions, we always consider exchange of energy between the interacting wave numbers represented in frequency-direction space as: \( (f, \theta)(f_+, \theta \pm \theta_3) \) and \( (f, \theta \pm \theta_4) \). The frequencies \( f_+ \) and \( f_- \) are given by:

\[
f_+ = (1 + \lambda) f
\]  \hspace{1cm} (3.64)

\[
f_- = (1 - \lambda) f
\]  \hspace{1cm} (3.65)

where \( \lambda = 0.25 \). Now, our discretisation in frequency space needs to be finite; i.e. we discretise from a finite lower frequency, \( f_1 \), to a finite upper frequency, \( f_{\text{max}} \). Thus, there are problems with evaluating Eq. (3.57) and Eq. (3.63) at the two limits of the discretised frequency space. The question is what should be done when \( f_+ > f_{\text{max}} \) or \( f_- < f_1 \)?

In order to answer this question, two additional assumptions are introduced:

**Case 1:** \( f_+ > f_{\text{max}} \)  

---
Firstly, the energy spectrum in the region $f > f_{\text{max}}$ is assumed to follow a $f^{-5}$ tail, since this is the diagnostic region. Secondly, in the vicinity of $f_{\text{max}}$, there are contributions to $S_{nl}$ from frequencies higher than the discretised maximum frequency in the model. The maximum frequency $f_{\text{upper}}$, which contributes energy into the discretised frequency range, can be found by solving:

$$f_{\text{max}} = (1 - \lambda) f_{\text{upper}} = f_{\text{max}}$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.66)

or

$$f_{\text{upper}} = f_{\text{max}} (1 - \lambda)$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.67)

Thus, in order to correctly compute the contributions to $S_{nl}$ in the vicinity of $f_{\text{max}}$, the discretised frequency space is extended to $f_{\text{upper}}$ and an $f^{-5}$ tail assumed in this region.

**Case 2: $f_{\text{-}} < f_{1}$**

It is assumed that $E(f, \theta) = 0$ in the region $f < f_{1}$. This is a reasonable assumption if the discretised frequency space has been selected carefully to include all the energy containing frequencies.

Furthermore, since we assume $E = 0$ in the region $f < f_{1}$, the contributions from this region to the discretised frequency range is zero. In order to minimise the repetitive calculations involved in the computation of the non-linear source term a procedure is used, which consists of the following five steps:

1. For each discrete direction, $\theta$, the indices in the direction array, to the right and left of $\theta \pm \theta_{1}, \theta \pm \theta_{4}$ are calculated and stored.

2. For each discrete frequency, $f$, in the extended frequency space, the indices to the right and left of $f, [(1 + \lambda)f]$ and $f, [(1 - \lambda)f]$ are calculated and stored.

3. For each discrete frequency, direction and configuration the spectral energy values $F(f, \theta \pm \theta_{1}), F(f, \theta \pm \theta_{4})$ are found using bilinear interpolation. The values at the four corners of the $f - \theta$ grid are obtained from the indices obtained in steps (1) and (2) above.

4. The computed contributions to $S_{nl}$ and $\delta S_{nl} / \delta E$ at $(f, \theta \pm \theta_{1})$, and $(f, \theta \pm \theta_{4})$ are distributed to the discrete frequency-direction mesh-points at the four corners of the $f - \theta$ grid.

5. The contributions to $\delta S_{nl}(f, \theta)$ and $\delta(\delta S_{nl} / \delta E)_{f, \theta}$ calculated from steps (3) and (4) are summed over all frequencies, directions and configurations to obtain $S_{nl}(f, \theta)$ and $(\delta S_{nl} / \delta E)_{f, \theta}$ at each $f - \theta$ mesh point.
3.3.3 Triad-wave interactions

In shallow water triad-wave interaction becomes important. Nonlinear transformation of irregular waves in shallow water involves the generation of bound sub- and super-harmonics and near-resonant triad interactions, where substantial cross-spectral energy transfer can take place in relatively short distance. The process of triad interactions exchanges energy between three interacting wave modes. The triad-wave interaction is modelled using the simplified approach proposed by Eldeberky and Battjes (1995, 1996).

\[ S_{n_+}(\sigma, \theta) = S_{n_+}(\sigma, \theta) + S_{n_-}(\sigma, \theta) \]  

(3.68)

where

\[ S_{n_+}(\sigma, \theta) = \max \left\{ 0, \alpha_{EB} 2\pi c_k J \left[ \left| \sin(\beta) \right| \left( c_\sigma E(\sigma, \theta) - \sigma \sigma \right) \right], 2c_\sigma E(\sigma, \theta) E(\sigma, \theta) \right\} \]  

(3.69)

\[ S_{n_-}(\sigma, \theta) = -2S_{n_+}(\sigma, \theta) \]  

(3.70)

This applies when \( U_r > 0.1 \) and \( f(1) \leq 2.5 f_{mean} \).

Here \( \sigma_- = \sigma / 2, \sigma_+ = 2\sigma, \) and \( c_- \) is the wave number corresponding to \( \sigma_- \). \( \alpha_{EB} \) is a tuning parameter. The biphase is parameterised by the parameter, \( \beta \), which is given by

\[ \beta = \frac{\pi}{2} \left( -1 + \tanh \left( \frac{0.2}{U_r} \right) \right) \]  

(3.71)

The Ursell number, \( U_r \), is given by

\[ U_r = \frac{g}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{H_{m0}}{\sigma^2 d^2} \]  

(3.72)

where \( \overline{\sigma} \) is the mean angular frequency. The interaction coefficient, \( J \), is given by

\[ J = \frac{k^2 \left( gd + 2c^2 \right)}{-kd \left( gd + 2Bgd^3k^2 - \left( B + \frac{1}{3} \right) \sigma^2 d^2 \right)} \]  

(3.73)

where \( B = 1/15. \)

3.3.4 Whitecapping

The mathematical development of a whitecap model can be traced to Hasselmann (1974). Assuming that the mechanism for whitecap dissipation is pressure induced decay, he obtained a dissipation source function that is linear in both the spectral density and the frequency.
Later, it was realised that other mechanisms are important. These mechanisms are the attenuation of short waves by the passage of large whitecaps and the extent of whitecap coverage (which depends on the overall steepness of the wave field). Combining these processes Komen et al. (1984) proposed a dissipation function formulated in terms of the mean frequency. This expression was reformulated by the WAMDI group (1988) in terms of wave number so as to be applicable in finite water depth

\[ S_{ds} \approx -\omega E \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.74)

and \( C'_{ds} \) and \( m \) are fitting parameters, \( \bar{\sigma} \) is the mean relative angular frequency, \( \bar{k} \) is the mean wave number, \( \hat{\alpha} \) is the overall steepness of the wave field and \( \hat{\alpha}_{PM} \) is the value of \( \hat{\alpha} \) for the Pierson-Moskowitz spectrum. The overall steepness is defined as

\[ \hat{\alpha} = \bar{k} \sqrt{E_{tot}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.76)

where \( E_{tot} \) is the total energy of energy spectrum and \( \hat{\alpha}_{PM} = (3.02 \times 10^{-3})^{1/2} \). In WAM cycle 3, \( m = 4 \) and \( C'_{ds} = 2.36 \times 10^{-5} \) (see also Komen et al., 1984 and WAMDI group, 1988).

With the introduction of the Janssen's description for the wind input, it was realised (Janssen et al., 1989) that the dissipation source function needs to be adjusted in order to obtain a proper balance between wind input and dissipation at high frequencies. Thus, Eq. (3.75) was modified as (see Komen et al., 1994):

\[ S_{ds}(f, \theta) = -C_{ds} \left( \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{\hat{\alpha}_{PM}} \right)^m \left[ (1-\delta) \left( \frac{k}{\bar{k}} \right)^{\frac{2}{\delta}} + \delta \left( \frac{k}{\bar{k}} \right)^2 \right] \bar{\sigma} E(f, \theta) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.77)

\( C_{ds}, \delta \) and \( m \) are constants. In WAM cycle 4 the values for \( C_{ds}, \delta \) and \( m \) are respectively, \( 4.1 \times 10^{-5}, 0.5 \) and 4. In the present implementation the tunable constants are \( C_{ds}^* = C_{ds} (\hat{\alpha}_{PM})^4 \) and \( \delta \) while \( m = 4 \). The default values for \( C_{ds}^* \) and \( \delta \) are respectively, 4.5 and 0.5.

The formulation of the source term due to whitecapping is as standard applied over the entire spectrum and the integral wave parameters used in the formulation is calculated based on the whole energy spectrum

\[ \bar{\sigma} = 2\pi \tilde{\bar{\sigma}} = 2\pi \left[ \frac{\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} E(f, \theta) f \sigma df d\theta}{\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} E(f, \theta) df d\theta} \right]^{1/2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.78)
\[
\sqrt{k} = \left( \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\pi} E(f, \theta) \left( \sqrt{k} \right)^p df d\theta}{\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\pi} E(f, \theta) df d\theta} \right)^{p_v}
\]

(3.79)

where \( p_v = p_c = -1 \) is applied. The integrals are calculated by a split into a resolved part (prognostic region) and unresolved part (deterministic region) following the approach used in Appendix A. For wave conditions with a combination of wind-sea and swell this may result in too strong decay of energy on the swell components. Introducing a separation of wind-sea and swell, the predictions for these cases can be improved by excluding the dissipation on the swell part of the spectrum and by calculating the wave parameters, used in the formulation of whitecapping, from the wind-sea part of the spectrum. The separation of wind-sea and swell are estimated using the definitions in Section 5.1.

To improve the whitecapping for wave conditions with a combination of wind-sea and swell Bidlot et al. 2007 proposed a revised formulation of whitecapping. Here Eq. (3.77) is still applied but the mean relative angular frequency and the mean wave number are calculated using Eq. (3.78) and Eq. (3.79), respectively, with \( p_v = p_c = 1 \) and the default values for \( C_{ds} \) and \( \delta^* \) are changed to 2.1 and 0.6, respectively.

### 3.3.5 Bottom friction

The rate of dissipation due to bottom friction is given by

\[
S_{bot}(f, \theta) = -(C_f + f_c \overline{(u \cdot \vec{k}) / k}) \frac{k}{\sinh 2kd} E(f, \theta)
\]

(3.80)

where \( C_f \) is a friction coefficient, \( k \) is the wave number, \( d \) is water depth, \( f_c \) is the friction coefficient for current and \( u \) is the current velocity. The coefficient \( C_f \) is typically 0.001-0.01 m/s depending on the bed and flow conditions (Komen et al., 1994). The default value for \( f_c \) is 0 corresponding to excluding the effect of the current on the bottom friction.

Four models for determination of the possibilities for the dissipation coefficient are implemented:

1. A constant friction coefficient \( C_f \). Tests with regional versions of the WAM model (see Chapter IV in Komen et al., 1994) have shown that the mean JONSWAP value of \( C_f = 2 \times 0.038/g = 0.0077 \) m/s is adequate for moderate storms. The default value for \( C_f \) is 0.0077 m/s.

2. A constant friction factor \( f_w \) in which the friction coefficient is calculated as

\[
C_f = f_w u_b
\]

(3.81)
where \( u_b \) is the rms wave orbital velocity at the bottom given by

\[
 u_b = \left[ 2 \int_{f_1}^{f_{max}} \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \frac{\sigma_f^2}{\sinh^2(2kh)} E(f, \theta) d\theta df \right]^{1/2}
\]

(3.82)

The default value for \( f_w \) is 0.015 \( \times \) \( 2^{1/2} \) = 0.021.

3. A constant geometric roughness size \( k_N \), as suggested by Weber (1991) in which the friction coefficient is calculated by Eq. (3.81) and the friction factor is calculated using the expression of Jonsson and Carlsen, 1966

\[
f_w = e^{-5.977 + 5.213(a_b/k_N)^{-0.194}} \quad \frac{a_b}{k_N} \geq 2.016389
\]

\[
f_w = 0.24 \quad \frac{a_b}{k_N} < 2.016389
\]

(3.83)

Here \( a_b \) is the orbital displacement at the bottom given by

\[
a_b = \left[ 2 \int_{f_1}^{f_{max}} \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \frac{1}{\sinh^2(2kh)} E(f, \theta) d\theta df \right]^{1/2}
\]

(3.84)

The default value for \( k_N \) is 0.04 m. This value was suggested by Weber, 1991 as being compatible with the flow conditions for a range of swell and wind sea spectra.

4. A constant median sediment size \( D_{50} \), in which the bed is modelled as a mobile bed. This approach was first used in a third generation wind-wave model by Tolman (1996). However, the present implementation is very different from Tolman’s formulation. Instead of using the Grant and Madsen model for determining ripple dimensions (as used by Tolman), we use the empirical expressions of Nielsen (1979) which is based on field measurements. Thereafter, the bed roughness is calculated using the expression by Swart (1976). Finally, the friction coefficient is computed as the product of the wave friction factor (using the expression of Jonsson and Carlsen, 1966) and the bottom orbital velocity. The default value for \( D_{50} \) is 0.00025 m.

Details of the bottom friction formulation can be found in Johnson and Kofoed-Hansen (2000).

### 3.3.6 Wave breaking

Depth-induced breaking (or surf breaking) occurs when waves propagate into very shallow areas, and the wave height can no longer be supported by the water depth. The formulation of wave breaking derived by Battjes and Janssen (1978) is used. The source term is written as (Eldeberky and Battjes, 1996):

\[
 S_{surf}(f, \theta) = -\frac{2}{X} \frac{\alpha_{Wf} Q_b}{X} E(f, \theta)
\]

(3.85)
where $\alpha_{BJ} \approx 1.0$ is a calibration constant, $Q_b$ is the fraction of breaking waves, $\tilde{f}$ is the mean frequency and $X$ is the ratio of the total energy in the random wave train to the energy in a wave train with the maximum possible wave height

$$X = \frac{E_{tot}}{(H_m^2/8)} = \left(\frac{H_{rms}}{H_m}\right)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.86)

where $E_{tot}$ are the total wave energy, $H_m$ is the maximum wave, and $H_{rms} = \sqrt{8E_{tot}}$.

In shallow water at a local water depth, $d$, the maximum wave height can be calculated from

$$H_m = \gamma d$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.87)

where $\gamma$ is the breaker parameter. The value of $\gamma$ varies from 0.5 to 1.0 depending on the beach slope and wave parameters.

When the waves are described using the directionally decoupled parametric formulation, the maximum wave height is influenced by the wave steepness as well.

$$H_m = \gamma_{ws} \cdot \tanh\left(k_{mean} \cdot d \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\gamma_{ws}}\right)/k_{mean}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.88)

Where $\gamma_{ws}$ is the breaking parameter related to breaking due to wave steepness and $\gamma$ is the breaking parameter related to wave breaking due to water depth. $k_{mean}$ is the mean wave number.

The default values for the tunable variables $\alpha_{BJ}$, $\gamma$ and $\gamma_{ws}$ are respectively 1.0, 0.8 and 1.0.

In a random wave train with a truncated Raleigh distribution of wave heights the fraction of breaking waves $Q_b$ is determined from

$$\frac{Q_b - 1}{\ln Q_b} = X = \left(\frac{H_{rms}}{H_m}\right)^2 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad Q_b = \exp\left(-\frac{(1-Q_b)}{(H_{rms}/H_m)^2}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.89)

$Q_b$ is obtained solving the nonlinear Eq. (3.89) using a Newton-Raphson iteration. As initial guess for the nonlinear iteration is used the following explicit approximation to $Q_b$ (Hersbach, 1996 private communication)

$$Q_b = \begin{cases} (1+2x^2)\exp(-1/x) & x < 0.5 \\ 1-(2.04z)(1-0.44z); & z = 1-x; \quad 0.5 \leq x < 1 \\ 1 & x \geq 1 \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.90)

Based on laboratory data and field data it has been shown that the breaking parameter $\gamma$ varies significantly depending on the wave conditions and the bathymetry. Kaminsky and Kraus (1993) found that $\gamma$ values in the range between 0.6 and 1.59 with an average of...
0.79. A number of expressions for determination of the breaking parameter $\gamma$ have been proposed in literature. Battjes and Stive (1985) found that $\gamma$ depends weakly on the deep water wave steepness. They proposed the following expression

$$\gamma = 0.5 + 0.4 \tanh(33s_0) \quad (3.91)$$

Here $s_0 = H_0 / L_0$ is the deep water steepness, where $H_0$ and $L_0$ is the wave height and the wave length, respectively, in deep water. This formulation cannot be used in the present spectral wave model, because the value of $\gamma$ is not determined based on local parameters. Nelson (1987, 1994) found that $\gamma$ can be determined as a function of the local bottom slope, $\partial d / \partial x$, in the mean wave direction. Nelson suggested the following expression

$$\gamma = 0.55 + 0.88 \exp\left(-0.012 \cotan\left(\frac{\partial d}{\partial x}\right)\right) \quad d \leq 100$$

$$\gamma = 0.55 \quad d > 100 \quad (3.92)$$

Recently, Ruessink et al. (2003) have presented a new empirical form for $\gamma$, where $\gamma$ is determined as a function of the product of the local wave number $k$ and the water depth $d$

$$\gamma = 0.76kd + 0.29 \quad (3.93)$$

Ruessink et al. showed that using this formulation for the breaking parameter the prediction of the wave heights in the breaking zone can be improved for barred beaches. However, the new formulation is also applicable to planar beaches.

### 3.4 Diffraction

Diffraction can be modelled using the phase-decoupled refraction-diffraction approximation proposed by Holthuijsen et al. (2003).

The approximation is based on the mild-slope equation for refraction and diffraction, omitting phase information. In the presence of diffraction the magnitude of the wave number, $k$, (the gradient of the phase function of a harmonic wave) is given by

$$k^2 = \kappa^2 \left(1 + \delta_a\right) \quad (3.94)$$

where $\kappa$ is the separation parameter determined from linear wave theory and $\delta_a$ is a diffraction parameter defined by

$$\delta_a = \frac{\nabla \cdot (cc_c \nabla a)}{k^2 cc_c a} \quad (3.95)$$

Here $c$ and $c_g$ are the phase velocity and group velocity, respectively, without diffraction effects and $a$ is the wave amplitude. Now the phase velocity, $C$, and the group velocity, $C_g$, in the presence of diffraction are given by

$$C = \frac{\sigma}{k} = \frac{\sigma \kappa}{k^2} = \frac{c}{\sqrt{1 + \delta_a}} \quad (3.96)$$
For wave propagation over slowly varying depths and currents the diffraction-corrected propagation velocities \((C_x, C_y, C_\sigma, C_\theta)\) of a wave group in the four-dimensional phase space \(\vec{x}, \sigma\) and \(\theta\) are given by

\[
(C_x, C_y) = \bar{C}_g + \bar{U} = \bar{C}_g (1 + \bar{\sigma}_\theta) + \bar{U}
\]

\[
C_\sigma = \frac{\partial C_x}{\partial d} \left[ \frac{\partial d}{\partial t} + \bar{U} \cdot \nabla_x d \right] - \bar{C}_g \frac{\partial \bar{U}}{\partial s}
\]

\[
C_\theta = - \left[ \left( \frac{1 + \bar{\delta}_u}{\bar{\kappa}} \frac{\partial C_x}{\partial m} \right) - \frac{C_g}{2(1 + \bar{\delta}_\sigma)} \frac{\partial \bar{\delta}_u}{\partial m} \bar{\kappa} \frac{\partial \bar{U}}{\partial m} \right]
\]

Following the approach by Holthuijsen et al. (2003) the wave amplitude is replaced by the square root of the directional integrated spectral energy density

\[
A(\sigma) = \sqrt{\int_0^{2\pi} E(\sigma, \theta) d\theta}
\]
4 Numerical Implementation

4.1 Space Discretisation

The discretisation in geographical and spectral space is performed using a cell-centred finite volume method. In the geographical domain, an unstructured mesh is used. The spatial domain is discretised by subdivision of the continuum into non-overlapping elements. The elements can be of arbitrarily shaped polygons, however, in this paper only triangles are considered. The action density, \( N(\vec{x}, \sigma, \theta) \) is represented as a piecewise constant over the elements and stored at the geometric centres. In frequency space, a logarithmic discretisation is used

\[
\sigma_i = \sigma_{\min} \quad \sigma_i = f_{\sigma}\sigma_{i-1} \quad \Delta\sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} - \sigma_{i-1} \quad l = 2, N_{\sigma}
\]

where \( f_{\sigma} \) is a given factor, \( \sigma_{\min} \) is the minimum discrete angular frequency and \( N_{\sigma} \) is the number of discrete frequencies. In the directional space, an equidistant discretisation is used

\[
\theta_m = (m-1)\Delta\theta \quad \Delta\theta_m = 2\pi / N_{\theta} \quad m = 1, N_{\theta}
\]

where \( N_{\theta} \) is the number of discrete directions. The action density is represented as piecewise constant over the discrete intervals, \( \Delta\sigma_i \) and \( \Delta\theta_m \), in the frequency and directional space.

Integrating Eq. (3.7) over area \( A_i \) of the ith element, the frequency increment \( \Delta\sigma_i \) and the directional increment \( \Delta\theta_m \) give

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{A_i} \int_{\Omega} N d\Omega d\sigma d\theta - \frac{1}{A_i} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{\sigma}} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} S d\Omega d\sigma d\theta = \int_{A_i} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (\vec{F}) d\Omega d\sigma d\theta
\]

where \( \Omega \) is an integration variable defined on \( A_i \) and \( \vec{F} = (F_x, F_y, F_\alpha, F_\beta) = \vec{v}N \) is the convective flux. The volume integrals on the left-hand side of Eq. (4.3) are approximated by one-point quadrature rule. Using the divergence theorem, the volume integral on the right-hand side can be replaced by integral over the boundary of the volume in the \( \vec{x}, \sigma, \theta \) space and these integrals are evaluated using a mid-point quadrature rule. Hence, Eq. (4.3) can be written

\[
\frac{\partial N_{i,m,n}}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{A_i} \left[ \sum_{l=1}^{N_{\sigma}} (F_x)_{i,m,n} \Delta l - \sum_{l=1}^{N_{\sigma}} (F_x)_{i,m,n} \Delta l \right]
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\Delta\sigma_i} \left[ (F_x)_{i+1/2,m,n} - (F_x)_{i-1/2,m,n} \right]
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\Delta\theta_m} \left[ (F_\beta)_{i,m+1/2} - (F_\beta)_{i,m-1/2} \right] + \frac{S_{i,m}}{\sigma_i}
\]
where NE is the total number of edges in the cell (NE = 3 for triangles).

\[
(F_n)_{p,l,m} = (F_x n_x + F_y n_y)_{p,l,m}
\]

is the normal flux through the edge \(p\) in the geographical space with length \(\Delta l_p\). \(\vec{n} = (n_x, n_y)\) is the outward pointing unit normal vector of the boundary in the geographical space. \((F_n)_{1,i+1/2,m}\) and \((F_\theta)_{1,i,m+1/2}\) are the flux through the face in the frequency and directional space, respectively.

**Convective flux in geographical space**

The convective flux in geographical space is derived using either a first-order upwinding scheme or a higher-order scheme. The convective flux at the edge between element \(i\) and \(j\) is given by

\[
F_n = c_n N_{face} = c_n \left( \frac{1}{2} (N_l + N_r) - \frac{1}{2} c_n (N_l - N_r) \right)
\]

(4.5)

Where \(N_l\) and \(N_r\) is the action density the left and the right of the edge and \(c_n\) is the propagation speed normal to the cell face

\[
c_n = \frac{1}{2} (\vec{c}_j + \vec{c}_j) \cdot \vec{n}
\]

(4.6)

Using the first-order scheme \(N_l\) and \(N_r\) is approximated by the cell-centred values \(N_i\) and \(N_j\), respectively.

The numerical diffusion introduced using first-order upwinding schemes can be significant, see e.g. Tolman (1991, 1992). In small-scale coastal applications and application dominated by local wind, the accuracy obtained using these schemes are considered to be sufficient. However, for the case of swell propagation over long distances, higher-order upwinding schemes may have to be applied.

Second-order spatial accuracy is achieved by employing a linear gradient-reconstruction technique for calculating the values \(N_l\) and \(N_r\). The gradients are calculated based on cell-centred values. To provide stability and minimise oscillatory effects, an ENO (Essentially Non-Oscillatory) type procedure is applied to limit the gradients. Additionally, a simple limiter is applied for \(N_{face}\) at the interface in that this value is limited by \(N_i, N_r, N_l\) and \(N_j\).

**Convective flux in frequency and directional space**

The convective flux in frequency and directional space is derived using a first-order upwinding scheme.
4.2 Time Integration

The integration in time is based on a fractional step approach. Firstly, a propagation step is performed calculating an approximate solution \( N^* \) at the new time level \((n+1)\) by solving Eq. (3.7) without the source terms. Secondly, a source terms step is performed calculating the new solution \( N^{n+1} \) from the estimated solution taking into account only the effect of the source terms.

Propagation step

The propagation step is carried out by an explicit Euler scheme

\[
N_{i,l,m}^{n+1} = N_{i,l,m}^n + \Delta t \left( \frac{\partial N_{i,l,m}}{\partial t} \right)^n
\]  
(4.7)

where \( \left( \frac{\partial N_{i,l,m}}{\partial t} \right)^n \) is given by Eq. (4.4) with \( S_{i,l,m} = 0 \) and \( \Delta t \) is the global time step.

To overcome the severe stability restriction, a multi-sequence integration scheme is employed following the idea by Vilsmeier and Hänel (1995). Here, the maximum time step is increased by locally employing a sequence of integration steps, where the number of steps may vary from element to element. Using the explicit Euler scheme, the time step is limited by the CFL condition stated as

\[
Cr_{i,l,m} = \left| c_x \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x_i} \right| + \left| c_y \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta y_j} \right| + \left| c_\sigma \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta \sigma_i} \right| + \left| c_\theta \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta \theta_m} \right| < 1
\]  
(4.8)
where $C_{r,l,m}$ is the Courant number and $\Delta x_i$ and $\Delta y_j$ are characteristic length scale in the x and y-directions for the ith element. The maximum local Courant number, $C_{\text{max},i}$, is determined for each element in the geographical space, and the maximum local time step, $\Delta t_{\text{max},i}$, for the ith element is then given by

$$\Delta t_{\text{max},i} = \Delta t / C_{\text{max},i} \quad (4.9)$$

To ensure accuracy in time, the intermediate levels have to be synchronised. Therefore, the fraction, $f_g$, of the local time step to the global time step is chosen as powers of $\frac{1}{2}$

$$f_g = \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{g-1}, \quad g = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \quad (4.10)$$

The local time step, $\Delta t_i$, is then determined as the time step with the maximum value of the level index, $g$, for which

$$\Delta t_i f_g < \Delta t_{\text{max},i} \quad (4.11)$$

Two neighbouring elements are not allowed to have an index difference greater than one. The edges get the lowest index of the two elements they support.

The calculation is performed using a group concept, in that groups of elements are identified by their index, $g$. The computational speed-up using the multi-sequence integration compared to the standard Euler method increases with increasing number of groups. However, to get accurate results in time, the maximum number of groups must be limited. In the present work, the maximum number of levels is 32.

### Source term step

The source term step is performed using an implicit method

$$N_{i,j,m}^{n+1} = N_{i,j,m}^n + \Delta t \left[ \frac{(1-\alpha)S_{i,j,m}^n + \alpha S_{i,j,m}^{n+1}}{\sigma_i} \right] \quad (4.12)$$

where $\alpha$ is a weighting coefficient that determines the type of finite difference method. Using a Taylor series to approximate $S^{n+1}$ and assuming the off-diagonal terms in the functional derivative $\partial S / \partial E$ to be negligible such that the diagonal part $\partial S_{i,j,m} / \partial E_{i,j,m} = \gamma$, Eq. (4.12) can be simplified as

$$N_{i,j,m}^{n+1} = N_{i,j,m}^n + \frac{(S_{i,j,m}^n / \sigma_i)\Delta t}{(1-\alpha \gamma \Delta t)} \quad (4.13)$$

For growing waves ($\gamma > 0$), an explicit forward difference is used ($\alpha = 0$), while for decaying waves ($\gamma < 0$), an implicit backward difference ($\alpha = 1$) is applied.

Especially for small fetches, stability problems may occur. Hence, a limiter on the maximum increment of spectral energy between two successive time steps is introduced. The limiter proposed by Hersbach and Janssen (1999) is applied.
\[ \Delta N_{\max} = \frac{3 \times 10^{-7}}{(2\pi)^3} g \tilde{u}_i \sigma_i^{-4} \sigma_{\max} \Delta t \]  

(4.14)

where \( \sigma_{\max} \) is the maximum discrete frequency and \( \tilde{u}_i \) defined by

\[ \tilde{u}_i = \max(u_*, (\sigma_{PM} / \sigma)) \]  

(4.15)

Here \( u_* \) is the wind friction speed.

### 4.3 Boundary Conditions

At the land boundaries in the geographical space, a fully absorbing boundary condition is applied. The incoming flux components (the flux components for which the propagation velocity normal to the cell face is positive) are set to zero. No boundary condition is needed for the outgoing flux components. At an open boundary, the incoming flux is needed. Hence, the energy spectrum has to be specified at an open boundary. In the frequency space, the boundaries are fully absorbing. No boundary conditions are needed in the directional space.

### 4.4 Diffraction

For instationary calculations the inclusion of diffraction can cause oscillations in the numerical solution in areas with very fine resolution and/or large ratio between element sizes. For quasi-stationary calculations the inclusion of diffraction can cause convergence problems. To reduce these problems a smoothing is introduced for the discrete values of the square root of the directional spectral energy density, \( A_{i,j} = A(x_i, y_j, \sigma_j) \), which is used in the calculation of the diffraction parameter. This smoothing is done according to

\[ A_{i,j}^k = (1 - \alpha) A_{i,j}^{k-1} + \alpha \left( A_{i,j}^{k-1} \right)^* \quad k = 1, n\text{steps} \]  

(4.16)

Here \( k \) is the number of smoothing steps and \( \alpha \) is the smoothing factor. The smooth approximation, \( A^* \), is calculated by first calculating the vertex values using the pseudo-Laplacian procedure proposed by Holmes and Connell (1989) and then calculating the cell-centred values by averaging the vertex values corresponding to each element. By default one filtering step is performed with a smoothing factor of \( \alpha = 1 \). Note, the smoothing is only used in the calculation of the diffraction parameter. Increasing the smoothing (increasing the number of smoothing steps) will reduce the oscillation/convergence problem, but will also have the effect that the diffraction effect will be reduced.

### 4.5 Structures

The horizontal dimension of structures, such as piers and offshore wind turbines, is usually much smaller than the resolution used in the computational grid. Therefore, the presence of these structures must be modelled by a subgrid scaling technique. Two approaches have been developed for taking into account the effect of point structures. The effects of the structures can be taken into account by introducing a decay term to reduce the wave energy behind the structure. This formulation is only accurate when the
energy decay is limited and the reflection of the wave energy is not taken into account.
The second approach is based on a correction of the convective flux term in geographical space.

4.5.1 Source term approach

The discrete source term, $S_{i,l,m} = S(\tilde{x}_i, \sigma_l, \theta_m)$, due to the effect of a point structure can be written

$$S_{i,l,m} = -\frac{c}{A_i} c_p E_{i,l,m}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.17)

where $A_i$ is the area of the cell $i$ in the mesh in which the structure is located, $c$ is the reflection factor, $c_p$ is the group celerity and $E_{i,l,m} = E(\tilde{x}_i, \sigma_l, \theta_m)$ is the energy density. The reflection factor determines the amount of energy, which hits the structure, there is reflected. For a circular cylinder the factor $c$ is obtained as

$$c = D \cdot r$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.18)

where $0 \leq r \leq 1$ is the reflection coefficient and $D$ is the diameter of the structure. The reflection coefficient $r$ is obtained from a pre-defined table. Alternatively, the factor $c$ can be specified directly as a function of the water depth and the wave period using a user-defined table.

The pre-defined table consist of the reflection factors, $r_{ij}$, as function of discrete values of the dimensionless diameter, $\bar{D}$, and the dimensionless wave period, $\bar{T}$

$$\bar{D}_i = \bar{D}_{min} + (i - 1) \frac{\bar{D}_{max} - \bar{D}_{min}}{nd - 1} \hspace{1cm} i = 1, nd$$

$$\bar{T}_j = \bar{T}_{min} + (j - 1) \frac{\bar{T}_{max} - \bar{T}_{min}}{nt - 1} \hspace{1cm} j = 1, nt$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.19)

where

$$\bar{D} = \frac{D}{d}$$

$$\bar{T} = \frac{2\pi \sqrt{g}}{\sigma \sqrt{d}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.20)
Here where $d$ is the water depth, $g$ is the acceleration of gravity, $n_d=18$ is the number of discrete diameters, $D_{\text{min}}=0.2$ is the minimum dimensionless diameter, $D_{\text{max}}=7$ is the maximum dimensionless diameter, $n_t=20$ is the number of discrete wave periods, $T_{\text{min}}=1.566$ is the minimum dimensionless wave period, $T_{\text{max}}=31.321$ is the maximum dimensionless wave period. The reflection factor at a given location is calculated from the table using bilinear interpolation.

The user-defined table containing the reflection factor as function of the water depth and the wave period must be given in form of an ASCII file. The first part of the ASCII file must contains the header information. The header information consists of two lines each with three space separated items. The first line contains the number of discrete depth, $n_d$, the minimum depth (in m), $d_{\text{min}}$, and the maximum depth (in m), $d_{\text{max}}$. The second line contains the number of discrete wave periods, $n_t$, the minimum wave period (in s), $T_{\text{min}}$, and the maximum wave period (in s), $T_{\text{max}}$. Data follows after the header information. The data consist of the reflection factors, $c_{i,j}$, as function of discrete values of the depth and wave period

\[ d_i = d_{\text{min}} + (i - 1) \frac{d_{\text{max}} - d_{\text{min}}}{n_d - 1} \quad i = 1, n_d \]

\[ t_j = t_{\text{min}} + (j - 1) \frac{t_{\text{max}} - t_{\text{min}}}{n_t - 1} \quad j = 1, n_t \]

The format of a reflection factor table is shown below

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\text{nd} & d_{\text{min}} & d_{\text{max}} \\
n_t & T_{\text{min}} & T_{\text{max}} \\
C_{1,1} & C_{1,2} & C_{1,3} & \ldots & C_{1,n_t} \\
C_{2,1} & C_{2,2} & C_{2,3} & \ldots & C_{2,n_t} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
C_{n_d,1} & C_{n_d,2} & C_{n_d,3} & \ldots & C_{n_d,n_t}
\end{array}
\]

The reflection factors in the file must be space separated. The reflection factor at a given location is calculated from the table using bilinear interpolation.

In spherical coordinates the area $A_i$ should be replaced by $\tilde{A}_i = A_i R^2 \cos \phi$, where $A_i$ is the area of the cell in the spherical coordinate system, $R$ is the radius of the earth and $\phi$ is the latitude.

4.5.2 Convective flux approach

The contribution to the time-derivative of the cell-centred value of the wave action density, $N_{i,l,m} = N(x_i, \sigma_l, \theta_m)$, from the convective transport in the geographical space into the element $i$ is given by

\[ -\frac{1}{A_i} \sum_{p=1}^{NE} (F_n)_{p,i,m} \Delta l_p \]

where $A_i$ is the area of the cell, $NE$ is the total number of edges in the cell ($NE=3$ for triangles and $NE=4$ for quadrilateral elements), $(F_n)_{p,i,m} = (F_{nx} n_x + F_{ny} n_y)_{p,i,m}$ is the normal flux through the edge $p$ in the geographical space with length $\Delta l_p$ and $\tilde{n} = (n_x, n_y)$ is the outward pointing unit normal vector of the boundary in the geographical space.
The convective flux in geographical space is derived using a first-order upwinding. The convective flux at the edge between cell \(i\) and the neighbouring cell \(j\) is given by

\[
F_n = c_n \left( \frac{1}{2} (N_i + N_j) - \frac{1}{2 |c_n|} (N_i - N_j) \right)
\]

(4.23)

where \(N_i\) and \(N_j\) are cell-centred values of the action density and \(c_n\) is the propagation speed normal to the cell face

\[
c_n = \frac{1}{2} (\hat{c}_i + \hat{c}_j) \cdot \hat{n}
\]

(4.24)

To take into account the effect of the structures a correction of the normal flux is introduced

\[
(F_n)_{p,l,m}^* = (F_n)_{p,l,m} - (\Delta F_n)_{p,l,m}
\]

(4.25)

where the correction term is given by

\[
(\Delta F_n)_{p,l,m} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{c}{\Delta l_p} (F_n)_{p,l,m} & (F_n)_{p,l,m} < 0 \\
0 & (F_n)_{p,l,m} \geq 0 
\end{cases}
\]

(4.26)

Hence, the decay of the energy in the cell \(i\) due to the point structure can be taken into account by adding the following correction term to the time-derivative of the cell-centred value of the wave action density

\[
\frac{1}{A_i} \sum_{p=1}^{N_E} (\Delta F_n)_{p,l,m} \Delta l_p
\]

(4.27)

The reflection factor, \(c_r\), is obtained as described in the previous section. To include the reflected energy the following term is subtracted from the time-derivative of the cell-centred value of the wave action density for the neighbouring cell \(j\)

\[
\frac{1}{A_j} (\Delta F_n)_{p,l,m+\Delta m} \Delta l_p
\]

(4.28)

It is assumed that the energy is reflected 180 degrees. Hence, \(\theta_{m+\Delta m} = \theta_m + \pi\). If \(\theta_{m+\Delta m}\) do not correspond to a discrete direction, a weighted correction is added to the two neighbouring discrete directions.

In spherical coordinates the length \(\Delta l_p\) in the correction term should be replaced by

\[
\Delta l_p = \Delta l_p R \sqrt{n_\lambda n_\lambda + n_\phi n_\phi \cos \phi \cos \phi}
\]

(4.29)

where \(\Delta l_p\) and \((n_\lambda, n_\phi)\) are the length and the outward pointing unit normal vector in the spherical coordinate system.
5 Output Data

5.1 Field Type

The following types of output data is possible

- Parameters
  - Integral wave parameters
  - Input parameters
  - Model parameters

- Spectral parameter (directional spectrum):
  The direction energy/action spectrum is obtained by integration over the discretised frequencies

- Spectral parameter (frequency spectrum):
  The frequency energy/action spectrum is obtained by integration over the discretised directions

- Spectral parameter (directional-frequency spectrum):
  The direction energy/action spectrum is obtained by integration over the discretised frequencies

Integral Wave Parameters

The integral wave parameters can be calculated for the total spectrum, for the wind sea part of the spectrum and the swell part of the spectrum. The distinction between the wind sea and the swell can be estimated in five different ways.

Constant frequency

Swell wave components are defined as those components fulfilling the following criterion

\[ f < f_{\text{threshold}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.1)

where \( f_{\text{threshold}} \) is the constant threshold frequency, which must be specified by the user. The default value is 0.125 Hz (\( T_{\text{threshold}} = 8 \text{ s} \)).

Constant frequency and wind direction

Swell wave components are defined as those components fulfilling the following criterion

\[ f < f_{\text{threshold}} \quad \text{or} \quad \cos(\theta - \theta_w) < 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.2)

where \( \theta \) and \( \theta_w \) is the wave propagation and wind direction, respectively. \( f_{\text{threshold}} \) is the constant threshold frequency, which must be specified by the user. The default value is 0.125 Hz (\( T_{\text{threshold}} = 8 \text{ s} \)).
Dynamic frequency

Swell wave components are defined as those components fulfilling the following criterion

\[ f < f_{\text{threshold}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.3)

where \( f_{\text{threshold}} \) is the dynamic threshold frequency defined by

\[ f_{\text{threshold}} = 0.7 \, f_{p,\text{PM}} \left( \frac{E_{\text{PM}}}{E_{\text{model}}} \right)^{0.31} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.4)

where \( f_{p,\text{PM}} \) is the peak frequency for a fully developed wind-sea described by a Pierson-Moskowitz spectrum (see also Young (1999) p. 92) given by

\[ f_{p,\text{PM}} = 0.14 \frac{g}{U_{10}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.5)

\( E_{\text{PM}} \) is the total wave energy in a fully developed wind-sea described by a Pierson-Moskowitz spectrum

\[ E_{\text{PM}} = \left( \frac{U_{10}}{1.4 \, g} \right)^4 \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.6)

and \( E_{\text{total}} \) is the calculated total wave energy for the components (also named the wind sea components) fulfilling the following criterion

\[ f > 0.8 \cdot f_{p,\text{PM}} \text{ and } \cos(\theta - \theta_w) > 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.7)

Dynamic frequency and wind direction

Swell wave components are defined as those components fulfilling the following criterion

\[ f < f_{\text{threshold}} \text{ or } \cos(\theta - \theta_w) < 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.8)

where \( \theta \) and \( \theta_w \) is the wave propagation and wind direction, respectively. \( f_{\text{threshold}} \) is the dynamic threshold frequency defined above (Eq. (5.3)).

Wave-age approach

Swell wave components are defined as those components fulfilling the following wave-age based criterion

\[ \frac{U_{10}}{c} \cos(\theta - \theta_w) < 0.83 \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.9)

where \( U_{10} \) is the wind speed, \( c \) the phase speed and \( \theta \) and \( \theta_w \) is the wave propagation and wind direction, respectively.
The integral wave parameters are given by

1. Significant wave height, $H_{m0}$ (m)

$$H_{m0} = 4 \sqrt{m_o}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.10)

2. Maximum wave height, $H_{max}$ (m)

The maximum wave height, $H_{max}$ (m) is estimated as

$$H_{max} = \min (H^1_{max}, H^2_{max})$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.11)

$H^1_{max}$ is determined assuming Rayleigh distributed waves

$$H^1_{max} = H_{sv} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \ln N}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.12)

where $N$ is the number of waves estimated as $N = duration/T_01$. The duration is set to 3 hours (10800 s).

$H^2_{max}$ is determined assuming monochromatic waves

$$H^2_{max} = d \left(0.141063\alpha + 0.0095721\alpha^2 + 0.0077829\alpha^3 \right) \frac{0.141063 + 0.0095721 + 0.0077829}{1 + 0.0788340\alpha + 0.0317567\alpha^2 + 0.0093407\alpha^3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.13)

where $\alpha = \frac{L}{d} = \frac{2\pi}{kd}$, where $k$ is the wave number corresponding to the peak wave period and $d$ is the water depth.

3. Peak period, $T_p$ (s)

$$T_p = \frac{1}{f_p}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.14)

The peak frequency $f_p$ is calculated from the one-dimensional frequency spectrum using a parabolic fit around the discrete peak.

The scheme for computing the peak frequency can be formulated thus:

- Search through 1D frequency spectrum and obtain the index, $i_p$, corresponding to maximum spectral density.
- Using $f_0 = f(i_p - 1)$, $f_1 = f(i_p)$, $f_2 = f(i_p + 1)$ and similarly for $E_0$, $E_1$, $E_2$, the peak frequency is given by

$$f_p = f(i_p - 1) - \frac{b}{2c}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.15)

where
\[ b = \frac{(f_2 - f_0)^2(E_1 - E_0) - (f_1 - f_0)^2(E_2 - E_0)}{(f_1 - f_0)(f_2 - f_0)^2 - (f_1 - f_0)^2(f_2 - f_0)} \]  
\[ (5.16) \]

\[ c = \frac{(f_1 - f_0)(E_2 - E_0) - (f_2 - f_0)(E_1 - E_0)}{(f_1 - f_0)(f_2 - f_0)^2 - (f_1 - f_0)^2(f_2 - f_0)} \]  
\[ (5.17) \]

4. Mean period, \( T_{01} \) (s)
\[ T_{01} = \frac{m_0}{m_1} \]  
\[ (5.18) \]

5. Zero crossing period, \( T_{02} \) (s)
\[ T_{02} = \sqrt{\frac{m_0}{m_2}} \]  
\[ (5.19) \]

6. Energy averaged mean period, \( T_{10} \) (s)
\[ T_{10} = \frac{1}{f} = \frac{m_1}{m_0} \]  
\[ (5.20) \]

7. Peak wave direction, \( \theta_p \) (degree)
This is defined as the discrete direction with maximum energy.

8. Mean wave direction, \( \bar{\theta} \) (degree)
\[ \bar{\theta} = 270 - \tan^{-1}(b/a) \]  
\[ (5.21) \]

where
\[ a = \frac{1}{m_0} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos \left( \frac{3}{2} \pi - \theta \right) E(f, \theta) df d\theta \]  
\[ (5.22) \]

\[ b = \frac{1}{m_0} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin \left( \frac{3}{2} \pi - \theta \right) E(f, \theta) df d\theta \]

9. Directional standard deviation, \( \sigma \) (degree)
\[ \sigma = \left[ 2 \left( 1 - \left( a^2 + b^2 \right)^{1/2} \right) \right]^{1/2} \cdot 180 / \pi \]  
\[ (5.23) \]

10. Wave velocity components
\[ (u, v) = (H_{m0} \cos(270 - \theta_m), H_{m0} \sin(270 - \theta_m)) \]  
\[ (5.24) \]

11. Radiation stresses, \( S_{xx}, S_{xy} \) and \( S_{yy} \) (m3/s2)
The calculation of the horizontal and vertical particle velocity components \( u \) and \( w \) is based on Stokes first order theory for progressive waves, see e.g. Dean and Dalrymple (1991) p79f:

\[
S_{xx} = \frac{1}{2} g \left( f_{u2} + f_{pp} \right)
\]
\[
S_{xy} = \frac{1}{2} g \left( f_{uv} \right)
\]
\[
S_{yy} = \frac{1}{2} g \left( f_{v2} + f_{pp} \right)
\]

where

\[
f_{pp} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left( 1 + \frac{2kd}{\sinh(2kd)} \right) E(f, \theta) \, df \, d\theta
\]

\[
f_{u2} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \cos^2 \left( \frac{3}{2} \pi - \theta \right) \left( 1 + \frac{2kd}{\sinh(2kd)} \right) E(f, \theta) \, df \, d\theta
\]

\[
f_{uv} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \cos \left( \frac{3}{2} \pi - \theta \right) \sin \left( \frac{3}{2} \pi - \theta \right) \left( 1 + \frac{2kd}{\sinh(2kd)} \right) E(f, \theta) \, df \, d\theta
\]

\[
f_{v2} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \sin^2 \left( \frac{3}{2} \pi - \theta \right) \left( 1 + \frac{2kd}{\sinh(2kd)} \right) E(f, \theta) \, df \, d\theta
\]

12. **Particle velocities**

The calculation of the horizontal and vertical particle velocity components \( u \) and \( w \) is based on Stokes first order theory for progressive waves, see e.g. Dean and Dalrymple (1991) p79f:

\[
u(z, \phi) = \frac{1}{2} \omega H \frac{\cosh k(z + d)}{\sinh k h} \cos \phi
\]

\[
w(z, \phi) = \frac{1}{2} \omega H \frac{\sinh k(z + d)}{\sinh k h} \sin \phi
\]

where \( \omega \) is the cyclic angular frequency, \( H \) is wave height, \( k \) the wave number, \( d \) water depth, \( z \) vertical coordinate and \( \phi \) the phase of the wave.
Using the directionally decoupled parametric formulation the root-mean-squared of the maximum value of two components can be calculated as

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{u}_{\text{max}}(z) &= \sqrt{\int_{0}^{\pi/2} \left(2\omega \cdot \frac{\cosh k(z + d)}{\sinh k h}\right)^2 E(\theta) d\theta} \\
\mathbf{w}_{\text{max}}(z) &= \sqrt{\int_{0}^{\pi/2} \left(2\omega \cdot \frac{\sinh k(z + d)}{\sinh k h}\right)^2 E(\theta) d\theta}
\end{align*}
\]

where \(E(\theta)\) is the wave energy at wave direction \(\theta\).

Using the fully spectral formulation the root-mean-squared of the maximum value of two components can be calculated as

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{u}_{\text{max}}(z) &= \sqrt{\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(2\omega \cdot \frac{\cosh k(z + d)}{\sinh k h}\right)^2 E(f, \theta) df d\theta} \\
\mathbf{w}_{\text{max}}(z) &= \sqrt{\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(2\omega \cdot \frac{\sinh k(z + d)}{\sinh k h}\right)^2 E(f, \theta) df d\theta}
\end{align*}
\]

where \(E(f,\theta)\) is the wave energy at wave direction \(\theta\).

The following values are included in the output:

- Maximum horizontal particle wave velocity at the sea bottom, \(U_{\text{max}}(z = -d)\)
- Maximum horizontal particle wave velocity at the free surface, \(U_{\text{max}}(z = 0)\)
- Maximum vertical particle wave velocity at the free surface, \(W_{\text{max}}(z = 0)\)
- Maximum horizontal particle wave velocity at a level \(z_0\), \(U_{\text{max}}(z = z_0)\)
- Maximum vertical particle wave velocity at a level \(z_0\), \(W_{\text{max}}(z = z_0)\)

\(z_0\) is defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{z}_0 &= \mathbf{d} + \Delta \mathbf{d} \\
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\Delta \mathbf{d}\) is a user-specified distance above the bed.
13. Wave power

The energy transport for a harmonic wave is \( P_{\text{energy}} = \rho g c_g E \) in magnitude, where \( E \) is the energy density and \( c_g \) is the group velocity, \( \rho \) is the density of water and \( g \) is the acceleration of gravity. In random seas the following definitions of the wave power can be used

- Omni-directional wave power (energy sink) \( P_{\text{energy}} \)

\[
P_{\text{Energy}} = \rho g \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} c_g(f, \theta) E(f, \theta) f df d\theta
\]

(5.31)

- Directional wave power (energy transport) \( \tilde{P}_{\text{Energy}} = (P_{\text{energy,x}}, P_{\text{energy,y}}) \)

\[
\tilde{P}_{\text{Energy}} = \rho g \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \tilde{c}_g(f, \theta) E(f, \theta) f df d\theta
\]

\[
P_{\text{Energy,x}} = \rho g \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} c_g(f, \theta) \cos(\theta) E(f, \theta) f df d\theta
\]

(5.42)

\[
P_{\text{Energy,y}} = \rho g \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} c_g(f, \theta) \sin(\theta) E(f, \theta) f df d\theta
\]

Input Wave Parameters

The following input parameters can be written

- Water levels, (m)
- Water depth, D (m)
- Current velocity, U (m/s)
- Wind speed, U10 (m/s)
- Wind direction, \( \theta_w \) (degree)
- Ice concentration

Model Wave Parameters

The following model parameters can be written

- Friction coefficient (m^2/s)
- Breaking parameter, gamma
- CFL number
- Time levels
- Length (m)
- Area (m^2)
- Threshold period (s)
- Wind friction speed (m/s)
- Roughness length
- Drag coefficient
- Charnock coefficient
Spectral Parameters

- Wave energy
- Wave action

5.2 Output Format

The following types of output formats is possible

- Point series
  - Selected field data in geographical defined points
  - The geographical coordinates are either taken from the dialog or from an ASCII file

- Lines series
  - Selected field data in geographical defined lines
  - The geographical coordinates are either taken from the dialog or from an ASCII file

- Area series
  - Selected field data in geographical defined area
  - The geographical coordinates of the area are specified in the dialog
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APPENDIX A

Spectral Wave Parameters
A Spectral Wave Parameters

The spectral wave parameters the total energy, $E_{tot}$, the mean angular frequency, $\bar{\sigma}$, and the mean wave number, $\bar{k}$, are calculated as follows

$$E_{tot} = m_0 \quad (A.1)$$

$$\bar{\sigma} = 2\pi \bar{f} = 2\pi \frac{m_0}{m_{-1}} \quad (A.2)$$

$$\sqrt{\bar{k}} = \frac{m_0}{I} \quad (A.3)$$

The spectral moments used in the calculation of spectral wave parameters are computed as follows

$$m_i = \int \int E(f, \theta) f^i df d\theta \quad (A.4)$$

Split Eq. (A.4) into resolved part and unresolved part

$$m_i = m_{i_1} + m_{i_2} \quad (A.5)$$

$$m_{i_1} = \int \int E(f, \theta) f^{i_1} df d\theta \quad (A.6)$$

$$m_{i_2} = \int \int E(f, \theta) f^{i_2} df d\theta \quad (A.7)$$

Therefore:

$$m_{i_2} = \int \left[ \int E(f_{max}, \theta) f d\theta \right] \left( \frac{f}{f_{max}} \right)^{-5} f^{i_2} df = I_{max} \frac{f_{max} 4^{-i}}{4 - i} \quad (A.8)$$

Eq. (A.8) above is not valid for $i = 4$. Finally, $m_i$ is given by:

$$m_i = \int \int E(f, \theta) f^i df d\theta + \frac{f_{max}^{4+i}}{4-i} \left[ \int \int E(f_{max}, \theta) d\theta \right] \quad (A.9)$$

Finally, $I$ used in the calculation of the mean wave number is given by
\[ I = \int_{f_i}^{f_{\max}} \int_0^{\theta_{\max}} E(f, \theta) \frac{d\theta d\Theta}{\sqrt{k}} + \int_{f_{\max}}^{\infty} \int_0^{\theta_{\max}} E(f_{\max}, \theta) \left( \frac{f}{f_{\max}} \right)^5 \frac{d\theta d\Theta}{\sqrt{k}} \]

\[ = \int_{f_i}^{f_{\max}} \int_0^{\theta_{\max}} E(f, \theta) \frac{d\theta d\Theta}{\sqrt{k}} + \int_{0}^{\frac{g}{10\pi}} \int_0^{\theta_{\max}} E(f_{\max}, \theta) d\Theta \]

where the deep water expression for \( k \) has been used in the second term on the RHS of Eq. (A.10).